GradeMax

CAMBRIDGE
International Education

Cambridge International AS & A Level

HISTORY **9489/32**

Paper 3 Interpretations question **May/June 2026**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme contains the instructions and marking guidance that our examiners used to mark candidate responses for this component.

Before they start marking, examiners complete a standardisation process. They review a range of candidate responses and discuss the mark scheme in detail to agree which answers can and cannot be accepted. Examiners award marks where it is clear that candidate responses have met the requirements of the mark scheme. These requirements may vary between different components or different versions of the same component, depending on the exact requirements of the question and the candidate responses seen during the standardisation process.

Sometimes, our mark schemes may allow marks to be awarded to responses which contain elements that are factually incorrect or for content not covered by the syllabus. We do this in response to the demands of a specific question to support candidates and make sure that our assessments are fair. However, these allowances should not be used as a guide for teaching and learning.

We cannot discuss the mark scheme with schools, and we recommend that schools read the mark scheme together with the assessment material and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

This document consists of **9** printed pages.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

[Turn over

GradeMax

General marking principles

All examiners must apply these marking principles when marking candidate responses.

1	Examiners must award marks for each response in line with: • the specific **content** defined in the mark scheme • the specific **skills** defined in the mark scheme or in the level descriptions • the **standard of response** required as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.
2	Examiners must award **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).
3	Examiners must award marks **positively**. This means that: • marks are not deducted for errors or omissions • marks are awarded for correct/valid answers as defined in the mark scheme and also for **other valid answers** which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme referring to your team leader as appropriate • the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar are judged **only** when the mark scheme indicates that these features are **specifically assessed** in the question. However, the meaning of all responses must be unambiguous.
4	Examiners must **consistently** apply the requirements of the mark scheme and any rules for what to do when candidates have not followed instructions correctly.
5	Examiners must use the **full range of marks** defined in the mark scheme, unless limited by the quality of the candidate responses seen.
6	Examiners must award marks according to the mark scheme and **must not** award marks with grade thresholds or grade descriptions in mind.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

Annotations guidance for centres

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
ID	Valid point identified
EXP	Explanation (an explained valid point)
	Detail/evidence is used to support the point
	Balanced – considers the other view
	Unclear
AN	Analysis
^	Unsupported assertion
K	Knowledge
EVAL	Evaluation
NAR	Lengthy narrative that is not answering the question
	Use with other annotations to show extended issues or narrative
	Factual error
JU	Judgement
NAQ	Not answering the question
sim	Similarity identified
diff	Difference identified
L0	Level 0

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

GradeMax

Annotation	Meaning
L1	Level 1
L2	Level 2
L3	Level 3
L4	Level 4
L5	Level 5
L6	Level 6

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

9489/32 Mark Scheme June 2026

AO4	**Analyse and evaluate how aspects of the past have been interpreted and represented.**	**Marks**
Level 6	• Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a complete understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. • These responses explain all elements of the historian's interpretation.	**18–20**
Level 5	• Responses use the extract in a detailed and accurate manner and demonstrate a sound understanding of the interpretation and of the approach(es) used by the historian in reaching this interpretation. • These responses engage with elements of the historian's interpretation, but without explaining it as a whole – they are consistent and accurate, but not complete and may cover less important sub-messages.	**15–17**
Level 4	• Responses use the extract, but only demonstrate partial understanding of the interpretation and approach(es) of the historian. • These answers identify elements of the historian's interpretation, but without adequately explaining them, typically explaining other less important message(s) as equally or more important.	**12–14**
Level 3	• Responses demonstrate understanding that the extract contains interpretations, but those explained are only sub-messages. • Responses may use a part of the extract to argue for an interpretation that is not supported by the whole of the extract, or may refer to multiple interpretations, often a different one in each paragraph.	**9–11**
Level 2	• Responses summarise the main points in the extract. • Responses focus on what the extract says, but explanations of the extract as an interpretation lack validity.	**5–8**
Level 1	• Responses include references to some aspects of the extract. • Responses may include fragments of material that are relevant to the historian's interpretation.	**1–4**
Level 0	No creditable content.	**0**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

GradeMax

AO1	Recall, select and deploy historical knowledge appropriately and effectively.	Marks
Level 6	Demonstrates detailed and accurate historical knowledge that is entirely relevant.	**18–20**
Level 5	Demonstrates detailed and mostly accurate historical knowledge that is mainly relevant.	**15–17**
Level 4	Demonstrates mostly relevant and accurate knowledge.	**12–14**
Level 3	Demonstrates generally accurate and relevant knowledge.	**9–11**
Level 2	Demonstrates some accurate and relevant knowledge.	**5–8**
Level 1	Demonstrates limited knowledge.	**1–4**
Level 0	Demonstrates no relevant historical knowledge.	**0**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

9489/32 Mark Scheme June 2026

GradeMax

Question	Answer	Marks
1	**The Origins of the First World War** **Interpretation/Approach** The main interpretation is <u>that the historian blames the role of the three authoritarian monarchs in the July crisis (i) because they felt vulnerable and (ii) because they believed a show of national strength was vital.</u> Showing complete understanding of the interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. This is an interpretation that sees the nature of the three authoritarian monarchies as making war more likely in 1914. The policies of the monarchs were reflections of their personalities and beliefs, and constraints on them were limited. Rather than seeing particular individuals or nations as being to blame, it is the institution of monarchy that is dangerous when unconstrained by elected legislative bodies. <u>Glossary</u>: Early post-the First World War interpretations tended to blame Germany, but quickly a reaction against this occurred, with a variety of interpretations blaming other nations. This may be termed revisionism. The turning point in the historiography was Fischer's work of the early 1960s which went back to blaming Germany – sometimes known as anti-revisionism. Since then, there has been a vast variety of interpretations, looking at the importance of culture, individuals, contingent factors, etc. with no clear consensus, though most historians would still place a significant burden of responsibility on Germany.	**40**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

GradeMax

Question	Answer	Marks
2	**The Holocaust** **Interpretation/Approach** The main interpretation is that the historian blames (i) the Nazi leadership which constantly sought more radical solutions to the 'Jewish problem' but,(ii) subordinates on the ground were left to work out how a state policy of genocide would be implemented. Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. The interpretation argues that while there may not have been an explicit 'Hitler Order', by the summer of 1941 Nazi leaders viewed mass murder of the Jews as state policy. The increase in killing was, however, brought about by subordinates who adapted centrally directed policy to local conditions. The reference to 'No Hitler, no Holocaust' will probably turn many candidates to viewing the interpretation as intentionalist, and this will be acceptable in L5/L6. Functionalism does not work well, since there is no real argument that the killings were an ad hoc reaction to circumstance, and will be L3 at best. Similarly, structuralism does not work as the structure of the state is not seen as a radicalising influence but instead is centrally directed. Arguments for a synthesis will probably be L4 if Intentionalism is properly explained. Glossary: Candidates may use some/all of the following terms: *Intentionalism* – interpretations which assume that Hitler/the Nazis planned to exterminate the Jews from the start. *Structuralism* – interpretations which argue that it was the nature of the Nazi state that produced genocide. There was no coherent plan but the chaotic competition for Hitler's approval between different elements of the leadership produced a situation in which genocide could occur. *Functionalism* sees the Holocaust as an unplanned, ad hoc response to wartime developments in Eastern Europe, when Germany conquered areas with large Jewish populations. Candidates may also refer to *synthesis* interpretations, i.e. interpretations which show characteristics of more than one of the above. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.	**40**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026

9489/32 Mark Scheme June 2026

GradeMax

Question	Answer	Marks
3	**The Origins and Development of the Cold War** **Interpretation/Approach** The main interpretation is that the historian blames both the USSR and the US for causing the Cold War because (i) Stalin expanded into Europe in the interests of his own security and (ii) the US reacted too slowly to this expansionism Showing complete understanding of the Interpretation will involve discussion of both these aspects. The historian indicates that neither side can be exonerated, they both seemed to want peace in 1945, but only on their own terms. Stalin pursued an expansionist policy that was bound to alarm the West. The West failed to take effective action, which encouraged Stalin to tighten his grip. However, the West eventually saw his action as a threat to their own security and developed the policy of containment. Post-revisionism will be the only 'label' permitted into L5/L6. As more blame is placed on Stalin, traditional/orthodox or post-post-revisionism will be L4, while seeing the approach as revisionist would be missing too much and will be L3. Glossary: *Traditional/Orthodox* interpretations of the Cold War were generally produced early after the Second World War. They blame the Soviet Union and Stalin's expansionism for the Cold War. *Revisionist* historians challenged this view and shifted more of the focus onto the United States, generally through an economic approach which stressed the alleged aim of the US to establish its economic dominance over Europe. *Post-revisionists* moved towards a more balanced view in which elements of blame were attached to both sides. Since the opening of the Soviet archives post-1990 there has been a shift to attributing prime responsibility to Stalin – a *post-post-revisionist* stance which often seems very close to the traditional view, but which often places great importance on ideology. What counts is how appropriate the use of this kind of terminology is in relation to the extract, and how effectively the extract can be used to support it.	**40**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2026